

Understanding DNA Testing and Reporting: Unsubmitted Sexual Assault Kits

Technological advances in DNA testing and leveraging the use of database searches in the Combined DNA Index System (CODIS) have, in part, driven testing of cold-case evidence and unsubmitted sexual assault kits, known as SAKs. If there is documentation, such as a police report, that a crime occurred, any foreign DNA obtained from evidence may be valuable to help identify a perpetrator and link with other crimes through a database search. Through the Department of Justice's Bureau of Justice Assistance National Sexual Assault Kit Initiative (SAKI), funding opportunities and support are available to include testing all unsubmitted SAKs for DNA.

Significant efforts are being conducted to inventory stored sexual assault kits, but partially tested SAKs also may warrant reexamination. Older evidence still may be suitable for DNA testing, even if it was previously tested using biological fluid screening only or with early DNA tests, such as restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP). The results of these examinations may even help determine whether there is viable evidence to proceed with additional testing using newer DNA technology. Even if evidence was partially or mostly consumed during prior testing, extracts and other byproducts of previous processes may be used.

CHALLENGE:

Obtain CODIS-eligible DNA from serology negative cases

- ◆ DNA quantification and amplification kits are more sensitive for screening semen.
- ◆ Enzymes used in serological detection break down over time.
 - Not detectable if digital penetration or no ejaculation
 - Viable DNA still possible
- ◆ DNA extracts can provide viable DNA to test using
 - Expanded short tandem repeat (STR) loci kits
 - Y-STR loci kits

Out of 132 sexual abuse samples, 19 samples were positive for male DNA using Y-chromosome markers that previously screened negative using traditional serology techniques such as prostate specific antigen and microscopy techniques.

(Stange et al., 2014)

Vulva and low vaginal swabs were recovered from a 19-year-old female 8 hours after an alleged sexual assault incident. No spermatozoa were detected. The samples were submitted for Y-STR testing and a full Y-STR profile was obtained.

(McDonald et al., 2015)

STR Technology: Prominent DNA Test

DNA is an acronym for deoxyribonucleic acid. Found within the nucleus of the cells in our body, DNA is known as the biological blueprint of life. Nuclear DNA is passed down generation to generation, with half a person's DNA coming from each parent. Humans are very similar to other humans but, excluding identical twins, there are small differences in our DNA that can tell us apart. Forensic DNA testing focuses on the parts of the DNA that are different between humans.

Common forensic nuclear DNA tests look at short tandem repeats (STRs) in our DNA, where

- ◆ **short** refers to small segments of DNA,
- ◆ **tandem** refers to being right next to each other, and
- ◆ **repeats** means replication.

A forensic DNA test examines the number of times an STR repeats, known as an allele (see Example 1). Because half a person's DNA comes from each parent, each person will have two repeats (alleles) at each location tested (see Example 2). A DNA profile is created when many STRs (typically 15–24 locations) are examined. The DNA profile also indicates whether the profile is female (X,X) or male (X,Y).

DNA Reports and Conclusions

Forensic DNA reports have common, standardized elements that include report date, case identifier, description of the technology, DNA locations tested or chemistry utilized, description of the evidence examined, results, disposition of evidence, and the signature and title of the person authorizing the report. When applicable, conclusions and a quantitative or qualitative interpretation statement are included. If the case was screened for biological fluids (i.e., semen, blood, saliva), a section or separate report will explain the screening, results and conclusions, and whether the item proceeded to or is recommended for DNA testing. For laboratories that screen sexual assault kits with DNA, the lack or presence of DNA and decisions to further proceed with DNA testing also should be clearly communicated.

Depending on the amount of DNA and its quality, the result may have data at every location tested (a full profile) or data at some of the locations tested (a partial profile). If no DNA is detected—for example, no DNA is deposited on an item tested or the DNA is degraded due to varying conditions over time, temperature, and humidity—there will be no results. Profiles that contain data from more than one individual are referred to as DNA mixtures. Because one person may have up to two different alleles at each location, three, four, or more alleles detected at a single location indicate multiple contributors. The totality of the profile is used to determine the results and make conclusions.

The DNA profile from an evidence item can be compared to known profiles obtained from the victim, suspect, or elimination samples. Results are commonly referred to as inconclusive, excluded, or included. When a result is *inconclusive*, there is typically not enough information, or the information is too complex to make a definitive conclusion; the DNA from that item is not reliable for making comparisons. An *exclusion* supports that a known profile cannot be contained within the profile generated from the evidence item, whereas an *inclusion* supports the known profile cannot be omitted from the DNA profile generated from the evidence item. Sometimes an inclusion also will be called a match, when there is a single DNA profile from one individual. An inclusion or match always should be supported with a quantitative statistical calculation that helps to explain the rarity of the inclusion (e.g., a random match probability or likelihood ratio).

Lack or presence of DNA should always be examined within the totality of all the evidence in an investigation. When there is an inclusion, a quantitative statistic represents the rarity of the DNA profile, and cannot convey the chance the person committed or did not commit the crime. Thus, DNA cannot convey guilt or innocence. Currently, DNA evidence cannot determine the age of the DNA or the age of the donor, but research is being conducted in these areas.

References:

1. Stange, V., Pelição, F. S., Mendonça, J. B., Pissinate, J. F., Barbirato, C., Gonçalves, F. C., . . . Louro, I. D. (2014, September 30) Increased Male Evidence Detection Rates by Utilization of DNA Markers in Forensic Rape Cases of Espírito Santo, Brazil. *Annals of Forensic Research and Analysis*, 7(2), 1008. Retrieved from <https://www.jsimedcentral.com/Forensic/forensic-1-1008.pdf>
2. McDonald, A., Jones, E., Lewis, J., & O'Rourke, P. (2015, March). Y-STR analysis of digital and/or penile penetration cases with no detected spermatozoa. *Forensic Science International: Genetics*, 15, 84–89. doi:10.1016/j.fsigen.2014.10.015

Author:

Amy Jeanguenat, MFS, has spent her career working in the private forensic industry supporting efforts worldwide to prevent and eliminate DNA backlogs. Mrs. Jeanguenat helped manage the successful completion of sexual assault kit outsourcing projects from Houston, TX, and Detroit, MI. Currently, Mrs. Jeanguenat works as the principal consultant at Mindgen, LLC.